Case: 1:22-cv-06724 Document #: 1-2 Filed: 12/01/22 Page 1 of 4 PageID #:12

## **EXHIBIT "A"**

## Case: 1:22-cv-06724 Document #: 1-2 Filed: 12/01/22 Page 2 of 4 PageID #:13

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Cha	arge Present	ed To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.		FEPA X EEOC	440-20	22-02143		
Illinois Department Of Human Rights and EEOC						
State or local Age Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	ency, if any	Home F	Phone (Incl. Area	Code)		
Mr. Kamau Phillips						
Street Address City, State and	nd ZIP Code					
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Committee, or State or Local Government Agency That I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.)						
RSM US LLP		500	oloyees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code) (312) 634-3400		
Street Address 30 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 3300	City, State and ZIP Code Chicago, IL 60606					
Name		No. Emp	oloyees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
Street Address EEOC 12/17/2021 City, State and	nd ZIP Code	•				
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es) )  RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION  RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTHER	NATIONAL C		Earliest	IMINATION TOOK PLACE Latest 6/22/2021 CONTINUING ACTION		
I, Kamau Phillips, am an African-American male. I was hired by RSM US LLP ("RSM") as consulting supervisor on July 27, 2017. I was quickly promoted to manager risk consulting. With pride of accomplishment, I was able to keep my utilization at 80% for billable hours.  During my employment with RSM I noticed that I was being held to a higher standard than my Caucasian colleague, Brandon Morris, a manager on my team. My director, Cameron Jones, had me work 75% more charge hours than Brandon to increase the overall team hours but this requirement was not forced on Brandon and his charge hours were less than the minimum expected for the year 2020. This is just one example of how I was treated differently than my white colleague by my director. I raised this concern to a partner of the firm, Nick Hahn, via a phone call discussion on making me have more charge hours and not having that requirement for Brandon Morris was unfair. It got back to me that Cameron Jones was upset with me because Nick Hahn had raised the concern to his attention from another manager within the firm that Cameron complained to. After several instances of me noticing that my Caucasian co-workers were not being scrutinized for their legitimate shortcomings, I feared that because						
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate	NOTARY – M	/hen necessary f	for State and Loca	I Agency Requirements		
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.  12 / 17 / 2021  Date  Charging Party Signature	the best of r SIGNATURE	my knowledge, OF COMPLAINA D AND SWORN	, information and			

Case: 1:22-cv-0	)6724 Document #: 1-2 Filed	l: 12/01/22 Page 3 of 4 P	ageID #·14
	DISCRIMINATION	Charge Presented To:	Agettegües-Chatge No(s):
	ncy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act mation before completing this form.	FEPA	
Statement and other inion	mation before completing this form.	X EEOC	
	Illinois Department O	f Human Rights	and EEOC
<u> </u>	State or local Ager	ncy, if any	
but based on the color of r	erican employee, my work wann wark wann wark wark wark wark wark wark wark wark	0, 0	•
	SM was set on labeling me, t eged deficiencies. RSM term	•	• •
the prior rating cycle I was Jones, was neither formall plan and no performance i my Caucasian co-workers to improve. Also, just two the firm who were selected leadership positions within	on was discriminatory and re rated as 'Doing Great' and, y nor informally communicat ssues were ever brought to had only 20% utilization and weeks prior to my termination to be part of a first trial prog the firm, indicating that man y performance to be lacking.	while the current rating fred to me, I was never plamy attention. While I had I they were not discipline I, I was one of the few Afgram to groom African-Ar	rom my director, Cameron aced on a performance 80% utilization, some of d or even told they needed frican-Americans within mericans into future
Act of 1964, as amended. Caucasian employee on module I maintained 80% utidiscrimination I was fired; performance, however, this supported by my most recommended.	ased on my race, African-Am Specifically, I was held to hig by team with only 20% utilizal lization and was expected to my employer claimed that the s was never brought to my a ent performance evaluation in the basis of my distinguished	gher standards and treated tion who was not labeled work 75% more hours; we reason for my termination ttention prior to my terminor the fact that I had be	ed less favorably than a lapson performer or fired when I complained of the ion was allegedly for poor nation and is not
I want this charge filed with both the EEO	C and the State or local Agency, if any, I	NOTARY – When necessary for State 8	and Local Agency Requirements
	dress or phone number and I will cooperate	,	ne above charge and that it is true to
I declare under penalty of perjury that t	he above is true and correct.	the best of my knowledge, informa SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	tion and belief.
12 / 17 / 2021	7	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFO (month, day, year)	DRE ME THIS DATE
Date	Charging Party Signature		

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT:** Under the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. Law 93-579, authority to request personal data and its uses are:

- 1. FORM NUMBER/TITLE/DATE. EEOC Form 5, Charge of Discrimination (5/01).
- **2. AUTHORITY.** 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(b), 29 U.S.C. 211, 29 U.S.C. 626, 42 U.S.C. 12117.
- **3.** PRINCIPAL PURPOSES. The purposes of a charge, taken on this form or otherwise reduced to writing (whether later recorded on this form or not) are, as applicable under the EEOC anti-discrimination statutes (EEOC statutes), to preserve private suit rights under the EEOC statutes, to invoke the EEOC's jurisdiction and, where dual-filing or referral arrangements exist, to begin state or local proceedings.
- **4. ROUTINE USES.** This form is used to provide facts that may establish the existence of matters covered by the EEOC statutes (and as applicable, other federal, state or local laws). Information given will be used by staff to guide its mediation and investigation efforts and, as applicable, to determine, conciliate and litigate claims of unlawful discrimination. This form may be presented to or disclosed to other federal, state or local agencies as appropriate or necessary in carrying out EEOC's functions. A copy of this charge will ordinarily be sent to the respondent organization against which the charge is made.
- **5.** Whether Disclosure is Mandatory; Effect of Not Giving Information. Charges must be reduced to writing and should identify the charging and responding parties and the actions or policies complained of. Without a written charge, EEOC will ordinarily not act on the complaint. Charges under Title VII or the ADA must be sworn to or affirmed (either by using this form or by presenting a notarized statement or unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury); charges under the ADEA should ordinarily be signed. Charges may be clarified or amplified later by amendment. It is not mandatory that this form be used to make a charge.

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST SUBSTANTIAL WEIGHT REVIEW

Charges filed at a state or local Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) that dual-files charges with EEOC will ordinarily be handled first by the FEPA. Some charges filed at EEOC may also be first handled by a FEPA under worksharing agreements. You will be told which agency will handle your charge. When the FEPA is the first to handle the charge, it will notify you of its final resolution of the matter. Then, if you wish EEOC to give Substantial Weight Review to the FEPA's final findings, you must ask us in writing to do so within 15 days of your receipt of its findings. Otherwise, we will ordinarily adopt the FEPA's finding and close our file on the charge.

## Notice of Non-Retaliation Requirements

Please **notify** EEOC or the state or local agency where you filed your charge **if retaliation is taken against you or others** who oppose discrimination or cooperate in any investigation or lawsuit concerning this charge. Under Section 704(a) of Title VII, Section 4(d) of the ADEA, and Section 503(a) of the ADA, it is unlawful for an *employer* to discriminate against present or former employees or job applicants, for an *employment agency* to discriminate against anyone, or for a *union* to discriminate against its members or membership applicants, because they have opposed any practice made unlawful by the statutes, or because they have made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the laws. The Equal Pay Act has similar provisions and Section 503(b) of the ADA prohibits coercion, intimidation, threats or interference with anyone for exercising or enjoying, or aiding or encouraging others in their exercise or enjoyment of, rights under the Act.